



Short communication

Simultaneous determination of a pyridine-triphenylborane anti-fouling agent and its estimated degradation products using capillary zone electrophoresis

Keiichi Fukushi^{a,*}, Yuki Yakushiji^a, Hideo Okamura^a, Youichi Hashimoto^b, Keiitsu Saito^c^a Kobe University Graduate School of Maritime Sciences, 5-1-1 Fukaeminami-machi, Higashinada-ku, Kobe 658-0022, Japan^b Hokko Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., 4-4-20 Nihonbashi Hongoku-cho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 108-8341, Japan^c Graduate School of Human Development and Environment, Kobe University, 3-11 Tsurukabuto, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 September 2009

Received in revised form

31 December 2009

Accepted 29 January 2010

Available online 6 February 2010

Keywords:

Capillary zone electrophoresis

Diphenylborinic acid

Phenol

Phenylboronic acid

Pyridine-triphenylborane

ABSTRACT

A commercial organoborane compound, pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB), is often applied to ship hulls as an anti-fouling agent. We developed capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE) with direct UV detection for the simultaneous determination of PTPB and its estimated degradation products: diphenylborinic acid (DPB), phenylboronic acid (MPB), and phenol. The limits of detection (LODs) for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol were, respectively, 25, 30, 50, and 29 $\mu\text{g/l}$ at a signal-to-noise ratio of three. At concentrations of 0.5 mg/l, values of the relative standard deviation (RSD, $n=6$, intra-day) of peak area were obtained, respectively, for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol, as 4.1, 4.1, 4.7, and 3.4% for peak heights 3.6, 3.2, 1.7, and 1.4%, and for migration times 1.1, 1.1, 1.0, and 0.73%. The analytes were detected within 14 min. Simple photodegradation experiments were conducted to verify the usefulness of the proposed method for additional PTPB degradation investigations.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

An anti-fouling agent is usually applied to ship hulls to prevent worsening of fuel consumption rates resulting from the buildup of marine organisms, such as barnacles and bivalves, which become attached to the surfaces of ship hulls. Organotin compounds have been used to date as effective anti-fouling agents. However, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) prohibited, in principle, the use of organotin compounds as anti-fouling agents from September 2008 because they are harmful to non-target marine organisms [1,2]. More than 20 chemical substances including Sea-Nine 211, Diuron, and Irgarol 1051, have been used as anti-fouling agents in place of organotin compounds [3]. Characteristics of an ideal anti-fouling agent are the following: the efficacy of an anti-fouling agent is long-lasting, and it is easily degradable to compounds that are less toxic to marine organisms shortly after dissolving in seawater. One anti-fouling agent, pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB), is frequently used in some Asian countries because of its effectiveness [4]. A few reports describe the degradation process of PTPB, its degradation products, and their toxicities to marine organisms [4–6]. However, they remain poorly understood because of the lack of an analytical method for both PTPB and its estimated degradation products. High performance

liquid chromatography (HPLC) [7,8] and HPLC–mass spectrometry (MS) [9] are conventionally used for determination of PTPB. However, no analytical method is available to determine PTPB and its estimated degradation products—diphenylborinic acid (DPB), phenylboronic acid (MPB), and phenol—simultaneously.

This study developed a procedure for simultaneous determination of PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol using capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE). The following analytical conditions were examined: effects on analytical performance of rinsing a capillary with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide, applied voltage, pH of the background electrolyte (BGE), wavelength used for detection, and the injection period of a sample solution. Simple degradation experiments using acetonitrile solutions containing PTPB were also conducted to verify the usefulness of the proposed method for additional PTPB degradation investigations. From a practical point of view, in the PTPB degradation experiments, PTPB would be dissolved in seawater and remaining PTPB and its degradation products are extracted using a solid phase extraction procedure. Takahashi et al. [8] has already established the extraction procedure. The eluate is finally evaporated to near dryness and the residue is dissolved with acetonitrile containing 1% (v/v) pyridine. Therefore, we used acetonitrile solutions containing PTPB for the simple degradation experiments. That is to say, PTPB samples dissolved in acetonitrile were put in the open air for 8 days to examine the PTPB degradation. The sample solutions were analyzed using the CZE method established in this study immediately after preparation and then after 8 days. Results show that the concentrations

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 78 431 6343; fax: +81 78 431 6343.

E-mail address: fukushi@maritime.kobe-u.ac.jp (K. Fukushi).




Fig. 1. Structures of pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB, A), diphenylborinic acid (DPB, B), phenylboronic acid (MPB, C), and phenol (D).

of DPB, MPB, and phenol increased concomitantly with decreased PTPB concentration. Results show that the CZE method is useful to elucidate the PTPB degradation process and its degradation products.

2. Experimental

2.1. Apparatus

The capillary electrophoresis (CE) apparatus used throughout this study had a UV–vis absorbance detector (270A-HT; Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA, USA). A polyimide-coated fused-silica capillary electrophoresis column was used (75 μm I.D. \times 375 μm O.D.; GL Sciences, Tokyo, Japan). The total length of the column (L_{tot}) was 72 cm; its effective length (L_{det}) was 50 cm. The peak area, peak height, and migration time were measured using a chromatointegrator (D-2500; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). This experiment used a pH meter (F-22; Horiba, Kyoto, Japan).

2.2. Reagents

All reagents were of analytical reagent grade; they were used as received. Pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB), diphenylborinic acid (DPB), and phenylboronic acid (MPB) were obtained from Hokko Chemical, Tokyo, Japan. Phenol was obtained from Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan. The structures of these compounds are presented in Fig. 1. The stock solutions (1000 mg/l) for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol were prepared in acetonitrile. It has been verified that PTPB was unstable not only in water but also in organic solvents, such as acetone, acetonitrile, and methanol [8]. To keep the original concentrations for longer time, 1% (v/v) pyridine (Nacalai Tesque) was added to the stock solutions for PTPB, DPB, and MPB. The stock solutions were then covered with aluminum foil and kept in a refrigerator to prevent their degradation. Standard solutions used for the examination of analytical conditions and preparation of the calibration graphs were prepared by subsequent dilution of the stock solutions with acetonitrile. The pH of the background electrolyte (BGE, 20 mM sodium tetraborate) was adjusted using 1 M sodium hydroxide (Nacalai Tesque) or 1 M hydrochloric acid (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan). The BGE was filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane filter (Advantec Toyo Kaisha, Tokyo, Japan) before use. Distilled, demineralized water, obtained from an automatic still (WG220; Yamato Kagaku, Tokyo, Japan) and a Simpli Lab-UV high-purity water apparatus (Nihon Millipore, Tokyo, Japan) was used for all experiments.

2.3. Procedure

A new capillary was washed with 1 M sodium hydroxide for 40 min and then with water for 10 min. Before the first analysis

of each day, the capillary was washed with water for 5 min, 1 M sodium hydroxide for 5 min, and water for 10 min. The thermostat was maintained at 30 °C. The following optimum analytical conditions were established: the capillary was washed with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide for 3 min; then the capillary was filled with BGE by vacuum for 3 min (each step was run automatically); a BGE, 20 mM sodium tetraborate was adjusted to pH 10.0 with 1 M sodium hydroxide; 200 nm detection wavelength; 4 s vacuum (16.9 kPa) injection period of sample (ca. 84 nl, the injection period of 1 s corresponds to a sample volume of 21 nl); and 15 kV applied voltage with the sample inlet side as the anode. Calibration graphs were prepared using synthetic standards.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Method optimization

Rinsing the capillary with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide between each run was inferred to have some effect on the reproducibility for peak area, peak height, and migration time for the objective compounds. To assess that effect, a standard solution containing 10 mg/l MPB was analyzed using a BGE (pH 10.5). When the capillary was not rinsed, values of the relative standard deviation (RSD, $n=4$) of peak area, peak height, and migration time were 4.4, 4.3, and 0.95%, respectively. When the capillary was rinsed, the RSDs were improved to 1.8, 0.79, and 0.61%, respectively. For that rea-




Fig. 2. Effect of pH of background electrolyte on effective mobility for pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB, ○), diphenylborinic acid (DPB, △), phenylboronic acid (MPB, □), and phenol (▽). The capillary was pre-rinsed with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide for 3 min between runs. Electrophoretic conditions: capillary, $L_{\text{tot}} = 72$ cm, $L_{\text{det}} = 50$ cm, 75 μm I.D. \times 375 μm O.D.; BGE, 20 mM sodium tetraborate adjusted to pH 8.5–11.0 with 1 M hydrochloric acid or 1 M sodium hydroxide; voltage, 15 kV with the sample inlet side as the anode; wavelength for detection, 210 nm. Sample, 5.0 mg/l PTPB, DPB, MPB, or phenol in acetonitrile; vacuum injection period, 3 s (63 nl).

son, the capillary was rinsed with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide for 3 min between runs in subsequent experiments.

The effects of applied voltage on peak area, peak height, and migration time for MPB were investigated using applied voltages of 10, 15, and 20 kV. Both the peak area and migration time for MPB decreased concomitantly with increasing applied voltage, whereas the peak height increased concomitantly with increasing applied voltage up to 15 kV. When the applied voltage was 20 kV, the peak height was almost identical as that for 15 kV. The unstable baseline with higher noise was also obtained when the applied voltage was 20 kV. Considering the shorter analysis time, the higher peak height, and the lower baseline noise, 15 kV was adopted as the optimal voltage for determination of MPB and other compounds.

The pH of BGE, 20 mM sodium tetraborate, was varied between 8.5 and 11.0 using 1 M hydrochloric acid or 1 M sodium hydroxide. Standard solutions containing 5.0 mg/l PTPB, DPB, MPB, or phenol and those mixtures were analyzed to examine the effects of pH of the BGE on effective mobilities. The results are presented in Fig. 2. Effective mobility for MPB increased concomitantly with increased pH up to pH 11.0. Under a basic condition, MPB changes to an anion type because of the coordination of hydroxide ion to boron [10]. Effective mobilities for PTPB and DPB were almost constant although the former was smaller than the latter. The structures of PTPB, DPB, and MPB resemble that of boric acid ($pK_a = 9.23$), as portrayed in Fig. 1. Boric acid, a weak monobasic acid whose boron acts as a Lewis acid, takes hydroxide ions from water [11]. Probably, the boron took hydroxide ions from water in the cases of PTPB and DPB, similarly to boric acid and MPB. As suggested by Hanada et al. [9], the chemical form of PTPB in water is supposed to be mainly triphenylborane after liberating pyridine, although commercial triphenylborane compounds are produced as pyridinyl complexes. On the other hand, the hydrogen of phenol ($pK_a = 10.0$) dissociates with increased pH. The electrophoretic mobility of phenol increased because of the higher degree of dissociation. The increase of the electrophoretic mobility caused the longer migration time, because the electrophoretic migration direction of PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol is anodic and opposite to the EOF direction (cathodic). When the pH was 10.0, all analytes were separated completely with shorter time. Therefore, pH 10.0 was adopted as the optimal pH of the BGE.

The effects of wavelength on peak height and the signal-to-noise ratios for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol were studied for wavelengths of 190–220 nm. A standard solution containing 1.0 mg/l PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol was analyzed. The peak heights for all compounds decreased with increased wavelength; the signal-to-noise ratios for all compounds had maximum values at 200 nm. Therefore, 200 nm was adopted as the wavelength for determination of PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol.

The injection period for the sample solution was varied: 1–5 s (21–105 nl). Peak heights for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol increased linearly, concomitantly with increasing injection periods up to 4 s; then the increasing rate of the peak heights decreased with increased injection periods up to 5 s. Consequently, the optimal




Fig. 3. Electropherograms of acetonitrile with pyridine and a standard solution of the mixture of pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB), diphenylborinic acid (DPB), phenylboronic acid (MPB), and phenol. (A) 0.0012% (v/v) pyridine in acetonitrile. (B) A standard solution containing 0.4 mg/l PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol. Peaks: a, phenol; b, PTPB; c, DPB; d, MPB. Electrophoretic conditions: BGE, 20 mM sodium tetraborate adjusted to pH 10.0 with 1 M sodium hydroxide; wavelength for detection, 200 nm. Vacuum injection period for the sample, 4 s (84 nl). Other electrophoretic conditions are those presented in Fig. 2.

injection period for a sample solution was inferred as 4 s. Fig. 3(B) depicts an electropherogram of the standard solution containing 0.4 mg/l PTPB (b in Fig. 3), DPB (c), MPB (d), and phenol (a). All compounds were well separated and detected within 14 min. Fig. 3(A) is an electropherogram of 0.0012% (v/v) pyridine in acetonitrile. The concentration of pyridine in the standard solution in Fig. 3(B) was 0.0012% (v/v). No peak was observed around the area where the analytes would be observed.

3.2. Method validation

Calibration graphs for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol were linear using both the peak area and peak height. Regression equations relating the area response to concentration for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol (x , 0–5.0 mg/l) were $y = 2.86 \times 10^4 x + 2.59 \times 10^3$ (correlation coefficient, 0.9994), $y = 3.04 \times 10^4 x + 7.32 \times 10^2$ (0.9997), $y = 1.65 \times 10^4 x + 5.98 \times 10^2$ (0.9998), and $y = 2.73 \times 10^4 x + 6.40 \times 10^2$ (0.9997), respectively; those relating the respective peak heights were $y = 5.09 \times 10^3 x + 1.50 \times 10^2$ (0.9998),

Table 1

Precision and detection limits of determination of pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB), diphenylborinic acid (DPB), phenylboronic acid (MPB), and phenol^a.

	RSD ^b (intra-day, %)			RSD ^b (inter-day, %)			LOD (S/N = 3) (μg/l)
	Area	Height	Time	Area	Height	Time	
PTPB	4.1	3.6	1.1	8.5	7.2	0.94	25
DPB	4.1	3.2	1.1	4.4	5.3	0.94	30
MPB	4.7	1.7	1.0	4.9	3.7	0.93	50
Phenol	3.4	1.4	0.73	9.1	4.7	0.94	29

^a Electrophoretic conditions are as those depicted in Fig. 3.

^b Sample: 0.5 mg/l in acetonitrile, six determinations.




Fig. 4. Electropherograms obtained in the degradation experiment for pyridine-triphenylborane (PTPB) in the open air. (A) Sample, 3.0 mg/l PTPB in acetonitrile immediately after preparation. (B) Sample, after 8 days. Electrophoretic conditions and identification of peaks are those depicted in Fig. 3.

$y = 5.70 \times 10^3 x + 1.91 \times 10^1$ (0.9999), $y = 2.96 \times 10^3 x + 4.34 \times 10^1$ (0.9999), and $y = 5.32 \times 10^3 x + 1.91 \times 10^2$ (0.9995). Table 1 presents the RSDs and limits of detection (LODs) for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol using the proposed method. The RSDs (intra-day) of peak area were obtained, respectively, for PTPB, DPB, MPB, and phenol, as 3.4–4.7%, and for peak heights 1.4–3.6%, and for migration times 0.73–1.1%. The RSDs (inter-day) of peak area were obtained, respectively, as 4.4–9.1%, and for peak heights 3.7–7.2%, and for migration times 0.93–0.94%. The LOD (25 $\mu\text{g/l}$) for PTPB obtained using our method was superior to the LOD (810 $\mu\text{g/l}$) used in the HPLC method by Oda et al. [7]. It is approximately equal to the LOD (12 $\mu\text{g/l}$) in the HPLC–MS method by Hanada et al. [9]. In addition, the determination limit in the HPLC method used by Takahashi et al. [8] was 50 $\mu\text{g/l}$. The LOD for PTPB in our method is presumably similar to the LOD for their method, although the LOD was not shown in their paper.

3.3. Applications

A simple degradation experiment was conducted to verify the usefulness of the proposed method for additional PTPB degradation investigations. That is to say, an acetonitrile solution containing 3.0 mg/l PTPB in a test tube with a screw cap was put in the open air. The sample solution was analyzed using the proposed method after 8 days. Fig. 4(A) and (B) respectively depict electropherograms of the sample solutions immediately after preparation and then after 8 days. A high peak corresponding to PTPB (b in Fig. 4(A)) and low peaks corresponding to phenol (a) and DPBP (c) were observed immediately after preparation. After 8 days, 33% of PTPB (b in Fig. 4(B)) was degraded and higher peaks for phenol (a) and DPBP (c) were observed; a low peak of MPB (d) was also observed. These results suggest that the proposed method is a useful tool to elucidate the degradation process of PTPB and its degradation products. Later we performed similar experiments for much longer period with different environmental conditions [12].

4. Conclusions

We developed a CZE method with direct UV detection for simultaneous determination of PTPB and its estimated degradation products such as diphenylborinic acid (DPB), phenylboronic acid (MPB), and phenol. The proposed method is a promising means to elucidate the degradation process of PTPB and its degradation products. Additional improvement of the LODs is desirable for lower concentrations of these compounds to make the method more useful. It is worthwhile to examine other sample injection methods—e.g. electrokinetic sample injection methods—to improve the LODs for these compounds.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Salt Science Research Foundation, No. 0811.

References

- [1] K. Yasui, Annual Report of the Marine Biological Research Institute of Japan, 2004, pp. 54–67.
- [2] International Maritime Organization, International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, 2008, <http://www.imo.org/>.
- [3] H. Harino, *Coast. Mar. Sci.* 29 (2004) 28.
- [4] H. Okamura, S. Kitano, S. Toyota, H. Harino, K.V. Thomas, *Chemosphere* 74 (2009) 1275.
- [5] R.L. Amey, C. Waldron, Proc. of the International Symposium on Antifouling Paint and Marine Environment, Tokyo, 27–30 January, 2004, p. 234.
- [6] X. Zhou, H. Okamura, S. Nagata, *Chemosphere* 67 (2007) 1904.
- [7] T. Oda, M. Sugawara, S. Nishino, Annual Report of the Sapporo City Institute of Public Health, 1998, pp. 82–87.
- [8] K. Takahashi, E. Yoshikawa, M. Akiyama, K. Kitaori, S. Masuoka, *J. Jpn. Soc. Colour Mater.* 78 (2005) 50.
- [9] Y. Hanada, T. Tanizaki, M. Koga, H. Shiraishi, M. Soma, *Anal. Sci.* 18 (2002) 445.
- [10] M. Yodoshi, T. Ikuta, Y. Mohri, T. Oyama, S. Suzuki, *Chromatography* 30 (2009) 61.
- [11] S. Kida, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd ed., Shokabo, Tokyo, 2007, p. 134.
- [12] Y. Yakushiji, K. Fukushi, H. Okamura, Y. Hashimoto, T. Miyado, K. Saito, *Bunseki Kagaku* 58 (2009) 301.